This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,933	09/28/2000	David L. Jensen	00AB184	7589
7	590 09/09/2004		EXAM	INER
John J Horn		KOSOWSKI, ALEXANDER J		
	Company LLC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Patent Dept 704P Floor 8 T-29 1201 South Second Street			2125	
Milwaukee, W	'I 53204-2496			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠,٠		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/672,933	JENSEN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alexander J Kosowski	2125			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 🛛 🛚	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 May 2004.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5) 🖾 (6) 🖾 (7) 🔲 (4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 and 29-34 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 24-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 September 2000 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/672,933

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

1) Claims 1-34 are presented for examination in light of the amendment filed 5/24/04.

Claim Objections

2) The claim objections from the previous office action are withdrawn in light of the amendment filed 5/24/04.

IDS

3) Examiner notes that copies of related applications filed along with the amendment on 5/24/04 have been received. However, a new clean form PTO-1449 will need to be filed in response to this office action in order to allow examiner to indicate that they have been considered.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4) Claims 1-23 and 29-34 are allowed.
- 5) The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Referring to claims 1-23 and 29-34, the claims are allowable for the reasons cited by attorney in the "Remarks" section of the amendment filed 5/24/04.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/672,933

Art Unit: 2125

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8) Claims 24 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Vivers (U.S. Pat 5,672,943).

Referring to claim 24, Vivers teaches a database including data representative of function and location of programmable electrical components in an installation (col. 1 lines 30-60), and a configurator adapted to access data from the database and to transmit the function and location data to respective programmable electrical components (col. 2 line 66 through col. 3 line 9).

Referring to claim 26, Vivers teaches that components are mounted in an enclosure in the installation and that the location data in the database represents a final location of the components within an enclosure (col. 3 lines 2-9).

Referring to claim 27, Vivers teaches that the components are coupled to a data network and the data transmitted to the components is accessible via the data network (col. 3 lines 2-9 and Figure 1).

Referring to claim 28, Vivers teaches that the configurator is adapted to transmit the data to the components via the data network (col. 3 lines 2-9 and Figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,933

Art Unit: 2125

10) Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vivers.

Referring to claim 25, Vivers teaches assembling a plurality of programmable devices within a system (col. 1 lines 30-42). However, Vivers does not explicitly teach that transferring portions of the database to each programmable device is at least partially performed prior to final assembly of the system.

It is respectfully submitted that a device may be programmed at any point either before or after final assembly in a system, and the skilled artisan would have found it an obvious modification to transfer portions of the database to each programmable device prior to final assembly within the application disclosed by Vivers with the motivation that transferring data to a programmable device before final assembly would allow a programmable device to be placed anywhere in a system and would not require it to be in full time communications with a network to receive data, which would increase system flexibility.

Conclusion

11) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Helf, Jr. et al (U.S. Pat 3,764,995) – teaches a programmable test system.

12) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander J Kosowski whose telephone number is 703-305-3958. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 703-308-0538. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 09/672,933

Art Unit: 2125

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. In addition, the examiner's RightFAX number is 703-746-8370.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

L. P.P

Alexander J. Kosowski Patent Examiner Art Unit 2125

> LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100